

Portfolio Review Committee Agenda

December 18 2013 1:00 p.m. to 3:30 p.m. 520 Mendocino Ave, Santa Rosa, Laurel Room

All supporting documents are available at www.SonomaUpstream.org and at the Board of Supervisors office at 575 Administration Drive, Room 100A, Santa Rosa, CA, during normal business hours. For accessibility assistance with this agenda or supporting documents, please e-mail Info@SonomaUpstream.org or call 707.565.5800.

1:00 Welcome, Introductions, Agenda ACTION ITEM

Approve Minutes from November meeting

1:10 Updates & Reports

Update on portfolio activity since last meeting

1:20 Applications to the Portfolio ACTION ITEM

Tier 2 Neighborhood Listening Project (Dan and Stephen)

Issue(s) for discussion: Literature Review, Evaluation

Tier 2 School Based Mentoring

Issue(s) for discussion: TBD

2:20 Program Transfer Process DISCUSSION

Discuss the process for transferring a program when one organization merges with or is acquired by another organization.

2:35 Content and Purpose of Review Committee Meetings DISCUSSION

Establish 2014 goals, purpose and structure

3:15 Public comment

3:30 Adjourn

Upcoming Meetings



Wednesday, November 20, 2013 Portfolio Review Committee Meeting Minutes 1:00 p.m. – 3:30 p.m.

520 Mendocino Ave, Santa Rosa, Laurel Room

Members (listed alphabetically)

Carlos Ayala, Sonoma State University
Carol Simmons, Child Care Planning Council
Dan Blake, SCOE
Jennifer O'Donnell, United Way
Julie Sabbag-Maskey, Human Services Department
Katie Greaves, Human Services Department
Leo Tacata, District Attorney's Office
Monique Chapman, Sheriff's Office
Rob Halverson, Probation Department
Stephen Jackson, SCOE

Staff (listed alphabetically)

Angie Dillon-Shore, Human Services Department B.J. Bischoff, Bischoff Performance Improvement Consulting Joni Thacher, Human Services Department

Oscar Chavez, Human Services Department

Not Present (listed alphabetically)
Alfredo Perez, First 5 Sonoma County
Robert Judd, Community Foundation
Serena Lienau, City of Santa Rosa

Public (listed alphabetically)

Beth Dadko, Department of Health Services

Topic	Discussion	Decision	Next Steps
Welcome,	Angie welcomed the Committee.	Motion to approve the	None
Introductions,	Motion to approve the minutes.	minutes: Stephen	
Minutes, Updates	B.J. is no longer under contract. We anticipate her contract will be renewed in January so that she	Second: Carol	
	can help train Cynthia King. B.J. will not resume her role as a Review Committee member until	Yes: 10	
	she has completed her second contract.	No: 0	
	B.J updated the committee on the Portfolio progress, Sonoma Valley session and renewal forms.	Abstain: 0	
Overview	Oscar gave an overview of the Upstream Policy Initiative	None	Angie to follow-up
	Leo would like information on the Shared Outcomes Workgroup.		with Leo.
Portrait of	Beth presented on the Portrait of Sonoma	None	Beth to send Joni the
Sonoma	Discussion:		formula for the
	How do we call out biases?		education index and
	What are your suggestions for Sonoma <i>Tales of Two?</i>		information on tribal
	Could we see the formula used to calculate the education index?		lands and First
	How can we frame the Portrait and use data to drive change?		Nations. Joni will

Topic	Discussion	Decision	Next Steps
	 Will the data include tribal land? Will tribal land be identified? Is tribal land counted in the census? Could we see numbers for First Nations populations as well? We need to address how this fits with the Upstream and Health Action Indicators. Why are there so many measures? We need clarification around alignment. 		send to Review Committee Review Committee will send additional questions and suggestions to Joni. Joni will forward to Beth.
Credible Sources	 The committee discussed the number of credible sources that should be included in a literature review. How many will we require? Is there a magic number of sources? Do they need to show that they have conducted exhaustive research? What is exhaustive? Should they keep reading until sources start referencing each other or a common work? What is credible? The instructions refer to a credible number of studies. This is different than a credible number of citations. Every outcome on their logic model should have a citation. Many organizations are looking for information to support what they are already doing, not to find research to inform the development of their program. The language on our score sheet and instructions under Literature Review should be changed to read ALL criteria must be included. What if a Tier 2 or 3 is testing/evaluating new ideas, there may not be literature to back up their claims until their evaluation is complete. The literature review should include a sufficient number of credible studies that support claims made in the logic model. 	None	Staff will change the wording on the instructions and score sheets, and post at www.SonomaUpstream.org
Evaluating and Evaluation	Carlos, Katie and B.J. led a discussion on how to evaluate and evaluation. Research questions: Research questions should drive evaluation and set up data. The questions are the organizing principles. There should be coherency between questions, data and the logic model. Data gathering methods: There must be coherency.	None	

Topic	Discussion	Decision	Next Steps
	There is a need to watch for introduced biases.		
	 Methods should make sense for the problem/questions and be achievable. 		
	Is what they proposed to do backed up by what they actually did?		
	Two of the most consistent problems are missing someone or using the wrong tools.		
	Data must be collected at two points in time.		
	Analysis		
	Differential analysis talks about the data. Inferential analysis makes claims, including		
	statistical testing, about the data. Inferential is less black and white and more grey.		Staff will include a
	• What if your claim is that things didn't get worse? The status quo is maintained? Can		discussion on
	that be a positive outcome?		increased rigor for
	Self report is acceptable for many of our purposes.		renewals to a future
	Do we push the rigor for renewals?		agenda.
Public Comment	None	None	None
Next	The next meeting will be on December 18 at 520 Mendocino Ave.	None	None
Meeting/Final	How can funders use the Portfolio?		
Comments	Develop a toolkit of suggestions for funders.		
	Some contracts require that a program is accepted to the Portfolio in the future.		
	Create learning circles.		
	Ask funders to fund T.A.		
	Give organizations outcomes driven language to present to funders.		
Adjourn	Adjourned at 3:35 pm.	None	None



Update Report for the Portfolio Review Committee

December 2013

Total number of programs approved to date for tier placement on the Portfolio:

Tier 1: 21 programs

Tier 2: 26 programs

Tier 3: 18 programs

Total: 65 programs

Number of organizations implementing or funding programs on the Portfolio: 82 organizations

Programs added to the Portfolio since November 20, 2013

	Approval Date	Program	Submitting Agency
1	11/26/13	Triple P Positive Parenting	YWCA
2	12/4/13	Foster Youth Mentoring	Forget Me Not Farms
3	12/10/13	Project SAM	Recourse Mediation Services

Activity related to active submissions since November 20, 2013

	Submission			Last Activity	
	Date	Program	Submitting Agency	Date	Status
		Neighborhood Listening			Committee decision
1	12/5/13	Project	Listening for a Change	12/11/13	needed
			Sonoma Valley		
2	12/9/13	School Based Mentoring	Mentoring Alliance	12/9/13	Under review
			Seneca Family of		
3	12/11/13	Seneca Wraparound	Agencies	12/11/13	Under review
			Jewish Children and		
4	12/11/13	Girls Circle	Family Services	12/11/13	Technical assistance
		Expressive Arts Peer Grief	WillMar Family Grief and		
5	12/11/13	Support Groups for Youth	Healing	12/12/13	Under review
		Interpersonal			
6	12/12/13	Psychotherapy	CPE	12/12/13	Under Review

Note: HSD staff also provide assistance to organizations preparing their applications. This assistance is reflected in the following table. The activity reflected here begins with a Portfolio submission.

Applications in progress but not submitted:

	Program	Submitting Agency	Last Communication	
1	Triple P <i>Renewal</i>	California Parenting Institute	12/10/13	
2	Kid Scoop News	Kids Scoop News	12/11/13	
3	Ceres Community Project	Youth Mentoring and Healthy Meals	10/25/13	
	Partners for Change Outcome			
	Management System (PCOMS),			
	Unconditional Care Model and			
4	Therapeutic Behavioral System (TBS)	Seneca Centers	10/25/13	
5	Literacy Connection	Volunteer Center of Sonoma County	10/24/13	
6	TBD	Sonoma Valley Teen Services	9/6/13	

Submissions with no activity since November 20, 2013

	Submission			Last Activity	
	Date	Program	Submitting Agency	Date	Status
					Awaiting info from
1	6/7/13	Triple P Parenting	Russian River Counselors	9/2/13	applicant
					Awaiting info from
2	4/15/13	Motivational Interviewing	Sunny Hills Services	5/16/13	applicant
			Breakout Prison Outreach		
		CYO-Street Outreach	dba California Youth		Awaiting info from
3	4/3/13	Program	Outreach	5/15/13	applicant
			Community Action		Awaiting info from
4	2/5/13	Head Start	Partnership	2/22/13	applicant
		HUD-Veterans Affairs			Awaiting info from
5	9/24/12	Supportive Housing	Interfaith Shelter Network	9/31/12	applicant
		Health Kids Sonoma	Redwood Community		Awaiting info from
6	9/3/12	County	Health Coalition	12/14/12	applicant
			Petaluma People Services		Awaiting info from
7	6/29/12	Play Therapy	Center	9/25/12	applicant
		Recreation and Parks			Awaiting info from
8	12/20/11	Afterschool Program	City of Santa Rosa	9/12/12	applicant
		Recreation and Parks			
		Neighborhood Services			Awaiting info from
9	12/14/11	Sports Program	City of Santa Rosa	9/12/12	applicant
					Awaiting info from
10	6/20/11	The Toolbox Project	Dovetail Learning, Inc.	7/13/12	applicant